

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/056,969	01/24/2002	Gerard J. Carlson	10016161-1	3888
7590 10/04/2003			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			JOERGER, KAITLIN S	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	O 80527-2400	·	3653	
			DATE MAILED: 10/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		cation No.	Applicant(s)	Show the same of t		
		6,969	CARLSON, GERARD J.			
		in r	Art Unit			
		S Joerger	3653			
Period for Reply	nmunication appears on	I THE COVERSHE TW	vith the correspond nce address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM  Extensions of time may be available under the pro- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of th  If the period for reply specified above is less than  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxi  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for any reply received by the Office later than three in earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70  Status	MUNICATION.  ovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n  is communication.  thirty (30) days, a reply within the  mum statutory period will apply at  for reply will, by statute, cause the  nonths after the mailing date of th	no event, however, may a e statutory minimum of thi nd will expire SIX (6) MO e application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communicat BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.		
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on <u>24 January</u>	<u>, 2002</u> .				
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This actio	n is non-final.				
closed in accordance with the	ndition for allowance ex practice under <i>Ex part</i>	cept for formal made of the community of	atters, prosecution as to the merit .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	s is		
Disposition of Claims  4)	n the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s)		o consideration				
		Consideration.				
<ul><li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li><li>6)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.</li></ul>						
7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>7-20</u> is/are objected.	1 to					
8) Claim(s) are subject to		on requirement				
Application Papers	regulation and/or clocks	on requirements				
9) The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 Janu</u>	<u>uary 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ a	ccepted or b)☐ obj	ected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that a						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction	on filed on is: a)[	☐ approved b)☐	disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings	are required in reply to thi	is Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is object	ted to by the Examiner	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 12	20					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a	claim for foreign priorit	y under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ Non	e of:					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified c application from the</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office</li></ul>	International Bureau (P	PCT Rule 17.2(a))	n received in this National Stage . t received	-		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a c				ation).		
a) The translation of the fore				,		
15) Acknowledgment is made of a						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-			v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

-**y**-

Application/Control Number: 10/056,969

Art Unit: 3653

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the best mode contemplated by the inventor has not been disclosed. Evidence of concealment of the best mode is based upon the applicant's failure to disclose their invention in a manner that would allow one of ordinary skill in the art to make and use the invention. The applicant claims a self-propelled input/output bin, but the specification does not include any schematic or detailed description of the self-propelled bin. There is no mention of any structural features, such as wheels, chains, or rails, which would be the drive mechanism for the self-propelled bin. Nor is there any mention of how the bin moves on its own. Therefore the specification does not meet the requirement of providing information for one of ordinary skill in the art to make the invention.

The specification also does not provide specific disclosure of the scanning/reading means disposed on the self-propelled bin that seems to be the novel feature of the applicant's invention. It is unclear how this scanning/reading process is performed and what hardware pieces are needed for such an invention. Therefore the specification, once again, fails to enable one of ordinary skill in the art to make and use the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 10/056,969

Art Unit: 3653

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,2, 9, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Coutant et al.

Coutant teaches a system for performing the automated job distribution method disclosed by the applicant including:

Detecting an input/output job at a consumable handling device, 16, reading a machine readable data located on a cover of the input/output job by a self-propelled bin, 14, determining the owner of the input/output job through the use of the bin, 14, by contacting the data center of the input/output job, see column 5, lines 53+ and figure 2. Coutant further teaches the step of sending then bin to the consumable handling device, 16, to read a machine readable data and transfer the job to the proper owner of the job.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kaitlin S Joerger whose telephone number is 703-305-8503. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Walsh can be reached on 703-306-4173. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 3653

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

26 September 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600